LIFTING $SU(3)$-STRUCTURES
TO NEARLY PARALLEL G_2-STRUCTURES

arXiv:0707.2029v1 [math.DG] 13 Jul 2007

Sebastian Stock
Mathematical Institute
University of Cologne
stock.s@uni-koeln.de

Abstract

Hitchin shows in [5,6] that half-flat $SU(3)$-structures on a 6-dimensional manifold M can be lifted to parallel G_2-structure on the product $M \times \mathbb{R}$. We show that Hitchin's approach can also be used to construct nearly parallel G_2-structures by lifting so-called nearly half-flat structures. These $SU(3)$-structures are described by pairs (ω, φ) of stable 2- and 3-forms with $d\varphi = \lambda\omega^2$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Introduction

The group $SU(3)$ can be realized as the G_2-stabilizer of a point in the 6-sphere. Therefore a $SU(3)$-structure on a 6-dimensional manifold M can always be lifted to a G_2-structure on the product $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Conversely a G_2-structure induces a $SU(3)$-structure on oriented hypersurfaces (see [1]). This relationship between $SU(3)$ and G_2-structures seems to be very pronounced in the case of (nearly) half-flat $SU(3)$- and (nearly) parallel G_2-structures. Cabrera shows in [1] that (nearly) parallel G_2-structures induce (nearly) half-flat $SU(3)$-structures on oriented hypersurfaces. Conversely Hitchin shows in [5,6] that half-flat $SU(3)$-structures can be lifted to parallel G_2-structures. It is well known that the associated metric of a parallel G_2-structure is Ricci-flat. Nearly parallel G_2-structure can be described by special 3-forms ψ with $d\psi = \lambda * \psi$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The associated metric of a nearly parallel G_2-structure is Einstein with constant scalar curvature. Therefore the question arises (see [3]) whether nearly half-flat $SU(3)$-structures can be lifted to nearly parallel G_2-structures.

1. Stable forms and $SU(3)$-structures

Definition 1.1. Let V be a real n-dimensional vector space and $\rho \in \Lambda^k V^*$. We say that ρ is stable if the orbit of ρ under the natural action of $GL := GL(V)$ on $\Lambda^k V^*$ is open. Consequently we call a k-Form $\rho : FM \longrightarrow \Lambda^k \mathbb{R}^{n*} =: \Lambda^k$ on a n-dimensional manifold M with frame bundle FM stable if for every frame $p \in FM$ the $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$-orbit $GL.\rho(p)$ of $\rho(p)$ is open in Λ^k. Stable forms have the property that all forms in a sufficiently small neighbourhood are stable, too.

Example 1.2. Consider the following forms on $\mathbb{R}^6$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_0 &:= e^{14} + e^{25} + e^{36}\\
\varphi_0 &:= e^{123} - e^{156} + e^{246} - e^{345}\\
\sigma_0 &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 = e^{1425} + e^{1436} + e^{2536}
\end{aligned}$$

where $(e_1, .., e_6)$ denotes the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^6$. The 2-form ω_0 is the canonical symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^6$ and its stabilizer under the $GL(6, \mathbb{R})$ action is $Sp(6, \mathbb{R})$. It follows

$$\dim(GL(6, \mathbb{R})) - \dim(Sp(6, \mathbb{R})) = 36 - 21 = \dim(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{6*})$$

and therefore ω_0 is stable. The identity component of the stabilizer of φ_0, σ_0 is $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$, $Sp(6, \mathbb{R})$ respectively. Therefore φ_0 and σ_0 are stable forms too.

Remark 1.3. The 3-forms lying in the open orbit of φ_0 are of special interest. Given such a form φ it is possible (see [5]) to construct a complex structure $I(\varphi) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^6)$ on $\mathbb{R}^6$. To construct an almost complex-structure on a 6-dimensional manifold M consider the subset

$$\Omega_{\varphi_0}(M) := \{\varphi : FM \longrightarrow GL.\varphi_0 \subset \Lambda^3\} \subset \Omega^3(M)$$

of 3-forms on M. Given $\varphi \in \Omega_{\varphi_0}(M)$ we obtain an almost complex structure $I(\varphi) \in \Gamma(\mathrm{End}(TM))$. To be more precise, we require that M is oriented to construct the almost complex structure. This is due to the fact that only the identity component of the stabilizer of φ is equal to $SL(3, \mathbb{C}) \subset GL(3, \mathbb{C})$. Apart from forms of type φ_0 there is only one more type of stable 3-forms on a 6-dimensional vector space [5]. Henceforth a stable 3-form shall always be a form of type φ_0.

Let $\rho_0 \in \{\omega_0, \varphi_0, \sigma_0\}$ be a stable k-form on $\mathbb{R}^6$. In the appendix we give a definition of an associated volume

$$\epsilon : GL.\rho_0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^6$$

which is compatible with the action of $GL(6,\mathbb{R})$ in the following way:

Lemma 1.4. For $\rho \in GL.\rho_0 \subset \Lambda^k$ and $A \in GL(6,\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\epsilon(A.\rho) = \begin{cases} A.\epsilon(\rho) & \text{if } \rho \in GL.\omega_0 \\ \mathrm{sgn}(A)A.\epsilon(\rho) & \text{if } \rho \in GL.\varphi_0 \text{ or } \rho \in GL.\sigma_0 \end{cases}$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}(A)$ denotes the sign of $\det(A)$.

Applying this invariance to the action of the scalar matrices, we see that $\epsilon(\lambda^k \rho) = \lambda^6 \epsilon(\rho)$, i.e. ϵ is homogeneous of degree $6/k$ and by Eulers formula we get $D_\rho\epsilon(\rho) = \frac{6}{k}\epsilon(\rho)$. Finally the wedge product gives an isomorphism $\Lambda^{6-k} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^k, \Lambda^6)$ and for every $\rho \in GL.\rho_0$ we find a unique $\widehat{\rho} \in \Lambda^{6-k}$ for which

$$D_\rho\epsilon(.) = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\rho} \wedge .$$

holds. By definition of $\widehat{\rho}$ we also have

$$\epsilon(\rho) = \frac{k}{12}\widehat{\rho} \wedge \rho$$

and the map $\widehat{.} : GL.\rho_0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n-k}$ satisfies:

Lemma 1.5. For $\rho \in GL.\rho_0$ and $A \in GL(6,\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\widehat{A.\rho} = \begin{cases} A.\widehat{\rho} & \text{if } \rho \in GL.\omega_0. \\ \mathrm{sgn}(A)A.\widehat{\rho} & \text{if } \rho \in GL.\varphi_0 \text{ or } \rho \in GL.\sigma_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof: For $\dot{\rho} \in T_{A.\rho}(GL.\rho_0) \cong \Lambda^k$ the invariance of ϵ yields

$$D_{A.\rho}\epsilon(\dot{\rho}) = \mathrm{sgn}(A)\det(A^{-1})D_\rho\epsilon(A^{-1}.\dot{\rho})$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}(A)$ occurs only in the case $\rho_0 \in \{\varphi_0, \sigma_0\}$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A.\rho} \wedge \dot{\rho} = D_{A.\rho}\epsilon(\dot{\rho}) &= \mathrm{sgn}(A)\det(A^{-1})D_\rho\epsilon(A^{-1}.\dot{\rho}) \\ &= \mathrm{sgn}(A)A.(\widehat{\rho} \wedge A^{-1}.\dot{\rho}) = \mathrm{sgn}(A)A.\widehat{\rho} \wedge \dot{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

In the following proposition we describe how the associated forms $\widehat{\rho}$ of a stable form $\rho \in GL.\rho_0$ look like. In fact the forms $\widehat{\rho}$ are stable too. According to Lemma 1.5 we only have to compute $D_{\rho_0}\epsilon$ for $\rho_0 \in \{\omega_0, \varphi_0, \sigma_0\}$ and what we get is:

Proposition 1.6. The associated forms $\widehat{\rho}$ are given by:

(1) $\widehat{\omega} = -\omega^2$.
(2) $\widehat{\varphi} = -I(\varphi).\varphi$.
(3) $\widehat{\sigma} = -\omega \quad \text{if } \sigma = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 \in GL.\sigma_0$.

Now let M be a 6-dimensional oriented manifold. Using the invariance of ϵ and $\widehat{.}$ we obtain maps $\epsilon : \Omega_{\rho_0} \longrightarrow \Omega^n(M)$ and $\widehat{.} : \Omega_{\rho_0} \longrightarrow \Omega^{n-k}(M)$. Stable forms can be used to describe $SU(3)$-structures on M. In particular we have (see [6]):

Proposition 1.7. There is a one-to-one correspondence between $SU(3)$-structures on M and pairs (ω, φ) of stable 2- and 3-forms on M, which satisfy the following compatibility conditions:

(I) (ω, φ) is positive, i.e. $\omega(X, I(\varphi)X) > 0$ for all $X \neq 0$,
(II) $\omega \wedge \varphi = 0$,
(III) $\epsilon(\varphi) = \epsilon(\omega)$.

Remark 1.8. Note that the equation $\omega \wedge \varphi = 0$ holds if and only if $\omega \wedge \widehat{\varphi} = 0$ holds. In particular this is exactly the condition for $\omega(., I(\varphi).)$ to be symmetric. Therefore the conditions (I) and (II) allow us to reconstruct the metric of the corresponding $SU(3)$-structure. Equation (III) is the condition for the $(3,0)$-form $\alpha := \varphi + i\widehat{\varphi}$ to be of constant length.

Definition 1.9. We say that a $SU(3)$-structure (ω, φ) is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nearly-Kähler} \;&:\Leftrightarrow\quad d\omega = 3\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad d\widehat{\varphi} + 2\omega^2 = 0, \\ \text{half-flat} \;&:\Leftrightarrow\quad d\varphi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d\omega^2 = 0, \\ \text{nearly half-flat} \;&:\Leftrightarrow\quad \exists\, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} : \quad d\varphi + \lambda\omega^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Every nearly-Kähler structure (ω, φ) is half-flat and the corresponding $SU(3)$-structure $(\omega, \widehat{\varphi})$ is nearly half-flat. Note that half-flat structures are not necessarily nearly half-flat. The reason (see [2]) to call structures with $d\varphi = \lambda\omega^2$ nearly half-flat is the type of their intrinsic torsion (in the Gray-Hervella-decomposition). Apart from the half-flat components $\mathcal{W}_1^- \oplus \mathcal{W}_2^- \oplus \mathcal{W}_3$ the torsion of a nearly half-flat structure has additional values only in $\mathcal{W}_1^+$, given by $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cong \mathcal{W}_1^+$.

2. Lifting nearly half-flat $SU(3)$-structures to nearly parallel G_2-structures

A G_2-structure on a 7-dimensional manifold M can be described by a stable 3-form ψ which is locally of the form

$$\psi_0 := \omega_0 \wedge e^7 + \varphi_0 = e^{147} + e^{257} + e^{367} + e^{123} - e^{156} + e^{246} - e^{345}$$

where $(e_1, .., e_7)$ denotes the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^7$. The stabilizer of ψ_0 under the natural action of $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ is the 14-dimensional Lie-group G_2. Choosing a point $x \in S^6$ the group $SU(3)$ can be realized as the subgroup of G_2 which leaves x invariant. Due to this fact we have:

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $(\omega_t, \varphi_t)_{t \in I}$ is a family of $SU(3)$-structures on a 6-dimensional manifold M^6. Define a 3-form ψ on $M^7 := M^6 \times I$ by

$$\psi := \omega \wedge dt + \varphi,$$

i.e. for $(m, t) \in M^7$ we have $\psi_{(m,t)} = \omega_{t,m} \wedge dt + \varphi_{t,m}$. Then ψ defines a G_2-structure on M^7 and for the induced metric and orientation on M^7 the equations

(1) $*\psi = -\widehat{\varphi} \wedge dt - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2$,
(2) $d^7\psi = (d^6\omega - \dot{\varphi}) \wedge dt + d^6\varphi$,
(3) $d^7 * \psi = (-d^6\widehat{\varphi} - \omega \wedge \dot{\omega}) \wedge dt - \omega \wedge d^6\omega$

hold.

As a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1 we get:

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $(\omega_t, \varphi_t)_{t \in I}$ is a family of $SU(3)$-structures on a 6 dimensional manifold M and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The induced G_2-structure $\psi = \omega \wedge dt + \varphi$ on $M \times I$ is nearly parallel with $d\psi = \lambda * \psi$ if the following Evolution Equations hold:

$$d\varphi_t + \frac{\lambda}{2}\omega_t^2 = 0. \tag{1}$$

$$d\omega_t - \dot{\varphi}_t = -\lambda\widehat{\varphi}_t. \tag{2}$$

Let M be a closed oriented manifold with a nearly half-flat $SU(3)$-structure (ω, φ) which satisfies

$$d\varphi = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\omega^2$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the non-empty set

$$\mathcal{A} := \{\varphi \in \Omega^3(M) \mid \exists\, \omega \in \Omega_{\omega_0}(M) :\ d\varphi = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\omega^2\}.$$

As a first order differential operator the exterior derivative $d : \Omega^4(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^3(M)$ is a continuous map and the set $\mathcal{A} = (-\frac{1}{\lambda}d)^{-1}(\Omega_{\sigma_0}(M)) \subset \Omega^3(M)$ is open in the Fréchet topology (see [4]). From $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ we get

$$T_\varphi \mathcal{A} = \Omega^3(M).$$

LEMMA 2.3. According to Proposition 1.6 the map

$$\pi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\omega_0}(M) \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi \longmapsto -\ \widehat{(-\frac{1}{\lambda}d\varphi)}$$

has the following properties:

(i) $-\frac{\lambda}{2}\pi(\varphi)^2 = d\varphi$.
(ii) For $\dot\varphi \in T_\varphi\mathcal{A} = \Omega^3(M)$ we have $\pi(\varphi) \wedge \pi_{*\varphi}(\dot\varphi) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}d\dot\varphi$.
(iii) For $F \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ we have $\pi(F^*\varphi) = F^*\pi(\varphi)$.

DEFINITION 2.4. Suppose (ω, φ) is a nearly half-flat $SU(3)$-structure on a closed manifold M with $d\varphi = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\omega^2$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. On the open set

$$A := \mathcal{A} \cap \Omega_{\varphi_0}(M) \subset \Omega^3(M)$$

we define a non-degenerated skew-symmetric bilinear form Ω by

$$\Omega(\dot\varphi_1, \dot\varphi_2) := \int_M \dot\varphi_1 \wedge \dot\varphi_2.$$

Consider the real-valued function $H : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi \longmapsto 2\lambda \left(\int_M \epsilon(\varphi) - \int_M \epsilon(\pi(\varphi)) \right).$$

From Lemma 2.3 (iii) and the invariance of ϵ we get

$$H(F^*\varphi) = \pm H(\varphi)$$

for each $F \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$. The sign is positive if F is orientation-preserving, and negative if F changes the orientation. Now let X be the associated vector field on A, i.e.

$$\Omega(X, .) = DH$$

and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval for which the flow Φ of the vector field X through $\varphi \in A$ is defined for all times $t \in I$. Then for all $t \in I$ the forms

$$\varphi_t := \Phi_t(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_t := \pi(\varphi_t)$$

are stable and our main result is:

Theorem 2.5. For a sufficiently small interval I the forms $(\omega_t, \varphi_t)_{t\in I}$ define a family of $SU(3)$-structures on M and the induced G_2-structure $\psi = \omega \wedge dt + \varphi$ on $M \times I$ is nearly parallel with $d\psi = \lambda * \psi$.

Proof: The forms (ω_t, φ_t) are stable and according to Proposition 1.7 the forms (ω_t, φ_t) define a $SU(3)$-structure if the conditions

$$\omega_t \wedge \varphi_t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(\omega_t) = \epsilon(\varphi_t)$$

are satisfied. Note that the open condition (I) of Proposition 1.7 holds on the compact manifold M for sufficient small I and Lemma 2.3 (i) yields

$$d\varphi_t + \frac{\lambda}{2}\omega_t^2 = 0. \tag{1}$$

Therefore the induced G_2-structure is nearly parallel if the equation

$$d\omega_t - \dot{\varphi}_t = -\lambda\widehat{\varphi}_t \tag{2}$$

holds (see Lemma 2.2). For $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ consider the map

$$\mu_Y : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi \longmapsto \int_M (Y \lrcorner\, (-\frac{1}{\lambda}d\varphi)) \wedge \varphi.$$

For $\varphi \in A$ we have $d\varphi = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\omega^2$ and therefore

$$\mu_Y(\varphi) = \int_M (Y \lrcorner\, \omega) \wedge \omega \wedge \varphi.$$

Since ω is non-degenerated, the equation $\omega_t \wedge \varphi_t = 0$ is satisfied if and only if $\mu_Y(\varphi_t) = 0$ holds for all $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, i.e.

$$d\mu_Y(\dot{\varphi}_t) = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Stokes' theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(X \circ \varphi_t, L_Y\varphi_t) &= \int_M \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge L_Y\varphi_t \\
&= \int_M \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge d(Y \lrcorner \varphi_t) + \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge (Y \lrcorner d\varphi_t) \\
&= \int_M d\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge (Y \lrcorner \varphi_t) + \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge (Y \lrcorner d\varphi_t).
\end{aligned}$$

and from $0 = d\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \varphi_t$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(X \circ \varphi_t, L_Y\varphi_t) &= \int_M -(Y \lrcorner d\dot{\varphi}_t) \wedge \varphi_t + \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge (Y \lrcorner d\varphi_t) \\
&= \int_M -(Y \lrcorner d\dot{\varphi}_t) \wedge \varphi_t - (Y \lrcorner d\varphi_t) \wedge \dot{\varphi}_t \\
&= \lambda d\mu_Y(\dot{\varphi}_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lambda d\mu_Y(\dot{\varphi}_t) = \Omega(X \circ \varphi_t, L_Y\varphi_t) = D_{\varphi_t}H(L_Y\varphi_t).$$

On the compact manifold M let Ψ_s be the flow of Y, defined for $|s| < \varepsilon$. For $\varphi_t \in A$

define a path

$$c : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow A \quad \text{by} \quad s \longmapsto \Psi_s^* \varphi_t.$$

Note that $dc(s) = \Psi_s^* d\varphi_t = -\frac{\lambda}{2}(\Psi_s^* \omega_t)^2$ holds. Therefore we have $c(s) \in A$ with $\dot{c}(0) = L_Y \varphi_t$ for sufficiently small ε. Finally, Definition 2.4 yields (3):

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda d\mu_Y(\dot{\varphi}_t) &= D_{\varphi_t} H(L_Y \varphi_t) \\
&= (s \longmapsto H \circ c(s))'(0) \\
&= (s \longmapsto \underbrace{H(\Psi_s^* \varphi_t)}_{\equiv H(\varphi_t)})'(0) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $\omega_t \wedge \varphi_t = 0$ for all $t \in I$ or equivalent

$$\omega_t \wedge \widehat{\varphi}_t = 0. \tag{4}$$

By definition we have $\epsilon(\pi(\varphi)) = -\frac{1}{6}\pi(\varphi)^3$ and the flow equation $\Omega(X, .) = DH$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \dot{\varphi} &= \Omega(\dot{\varphi}_t, \dot{\varphi}) = \Omega(X \circ \varphi_t, \dot{\varphi}) = D_{\varphi_t} H(\dot{\varphi}) \\
&= 2\lambda \int_M D_{\varphi_t} \epsilon(\dot{\varphi}) + \frac{1}{2}\pi(\varphi_t)^2 \wedge \pi_*(\dot{\varphi})
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\dot{\varphi} \in T_{\varphi_t} A = \Omega^3(M)$. Using Lemma 2.3 (ii) we obtain Equation (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \dot{\varphi} &= 2\lambda \int_M \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\varphi}_t \wedge \dot{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_t \wedge (-\frac{1}{\lambda} d\dot{\varphi}) \\
&= \int_M \lambda \widehat{\varphi}_t \wedge \dot{\varphi} - \omega_t \wedge d\dot{\varphi} \\
&= \int_M (\lambda \widehat{\varphi}_t + d\omega_t) \wedge \dot{\varphi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2(D\epsilon(\dot{\omega}_t) - D\epsilon(\dot{\varphi}_t)) &= \widehat{\omega}_t \wedge \dot{\omega}_t - \widehat{\varphi}_t \wedge \dot{\varphi}_t \\
&\overset{(2)}{=} -\omega_t^2 \wedge \dot{\omega}_t - \widehat{\varphi}_t \wedge (\lambda \widehat{\varphi}_t + d\omega_t) \\
&= -\omega_t^2 \wedge \dot{\omega}_t - \widehat{\varphi}_t \wedge d\omega_t \\
&\overset{(4)}{=} -\omega_t^2 \wedge \dot{\omega}_t - d\widehat{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t \\
&\overset{(2)}{=} -\omega_t^2 \wedge \dot{\omega}_t - \frac{1}{\lambda} d\dot{\varphi}_t \wedge \omega_t \\
&\overset{(1)}{=} 0
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem.

□

According to the results in [1] and [5,6] Theorem 2.5 is the last part to completely understand the relationship between (nearly) half-flat $SU(3)$-structures on M and

(nearly) parallel G_2-structures on the product $M \times \mathbb{R}$.
Apart from nearly half-flat structures on hypersurfaces of nearly parallel G_2 manifolds, nearly Kähler structures are also examples for nearly half-flat structures (see [3]). A nearly Kähler structure is a $SU(3)$-structure (ω, φ) which satisfies

$$d\omega = 3\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad d\widehat{\varphi} + 2\omega^2 = 0.$$

These equations imply that (ω, φ) is a half-flat structure and the corresponding $SU(3)$-structure $(\omega, \widehat{\varphi})$ is nearly half-flat. In the nearly-Kähler case Hitchin's evolution Equations and the evolution Equations (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.2 can be solved explicitly in terms of (ω, φ) and $(\omega, \widehat{\varphi})$: Suppose (ω, φ) is a nearly-Kähler structure with metric g. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the $SU(3)$-family

$$\omega_t := t^2\omega \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_t := t^3\varphi$$

induces a parallel G_2-structure on the product $M \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and the G_2-metric $\widehat{g}$ is given by the conical metric $\widehat{g} = t^2 g + dt^2$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We can do something similar to construct nearly parallel G_2-structures: For $t \in (0, \pi)$ the $SU(3)$-family

$$\omega_t := \sin^2(t)\omega \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_t := \sin^3(t)(\sin(t)\widehat{\varphi} + \cos(t)\varphi)$$

induces a nearly parallel G_2-structure on the product $M \times (0, \pi)$ and the G_2-metric $\widehat{g}$ is given by $\widehat{g} = \sin^2(t)g + dt^2$.

Hitchin's construction and the construction presented in Chapter 2 have in common that the torsion type of the $SU(3)$-family remains constant. This indicates that a unifying approach to both constructions might be possible.

Appendix: Definition of the volumes (see [6])

For the case n=6 and k=2 the volume associated to $\omega \in GL.\omega_0$ is simply the Liouville volume

$$\epsilon(\omega) := -\frac{1}{3!}\omega^3.$$

In the other cases, we construct $GL(6, \mathbb{R})$-invariant maps in the following way:

(i) n=6, k=3:

$$K : \Lambda^3 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^6, \mathbb{R}^6 \otimes \Lambda^6) \quad \text{by} \quad K_\varphi(x)(\alpha) := \frac{1}{2}\alpha \wedge (x \lrcorner \varphi) \wedge \varphi \in \Lambda^6$$

$(x \in \mathbb{R}^6$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{6*})$ and

$$\lambda : \Lambda^3 \longrightarrow (\Lambda^6)^2 \quad \text{by} \quad \varphi \longmapsto \frac{1}{6}\mathrm{tr}(K_\varphi^2).$$

(ii) n=6, k=4:

$$K : \Lambda^4 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^{6*}, \mathbb{R}^6 \otimes \Lambda^6) \quad \text{by} \quad K_\sigma(\alpha)(\beta) := \beta \wedge \alpha \wedge \sigma \in \Lambda^6$$

$(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{6*})$ and

$$\lambda : \Lambda^4 \longrightarrow (\Lambda^6)^4 \quad \text{by} \quad \sigma \longmapsto \det(K_\sigma).$$

Note that $\lambda(\varphi_0) < 0$ and $\lambda(\sigma_0) > 0$ holds. Therefore we obtain the associated volumes by:

$$\begin{array}{rl} \text{(i)} & \epsilon : GL.\varphi_0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^6 \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi \longmapsto (-\lambda(\varphi))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \\ \text{(ii)} & \epsilon : GL.\sigma_0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^6 \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma \longmapsto (\lambda(\sigma))^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{array}$$

The complex structure associated to $\varphi \in GL.\varphi_0$ is given by

$$I(\varphi) := \frac{1}{\epsilon(\varphi)} K(\varphi) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^6).$$

REFERENCES

[1] M. CABRERA: *$SU(3)$-structures on hypersurfaces of manifolds with G_2-structure*, 2005, to appear in Monatsh. Math. Preprint math.DG/0410610 v6.

[2] S.CHIOSSI, S.SALAMON: *The intrinsic torsion of $SU(3)$ and G_2 structures*, Differential Geometry, Valencia 2001, World Sci. Publishing, 2002, pp 115-133, math.DG/0202282 v1.

[3] M. FERNANDEZ, S.IVANOV, V.MUNOZ, L.UGARTE: *Nearly hypo structures and compact nearly Kähler 6-manifolds with conical singularities*, 2006, math.DG/ 0602160 v2.

[4] R.S. HAMILTON: *The Inverse Function Theorem of Nash and Moser*, American Math. Soc., 1982, pages 65-222.

[5] N. HITCHIN: *The geometry of three-forms in six and seven dimensions*, J. Differ. Geom., 55:547-576, 2000, math.DG/0010054 v1.

[6] N. HITCHIN: *Stable forms and special metrics*, Global Differential Geometry: *The Mathematical Legacy of Alfred Gray*, volume 288 of Contemp. Math., pages 70-89. American Math. Soc., 2001, math.DG/0107101 v1.